

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,911	01/17/2002	James Kelly Thomas	46171-026	3406	
. 7	590 07/30/2003				
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
600 13th Street Washington, D	c, N.W. C 20005-3096		NOORI, MAX H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2055		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/046,911	THOMAS ET AL.					
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Max Noori	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply								
THE ! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	on of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 16-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)□	6) Claim(s) <u>7,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) 8-13 is/are objected to.			•				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
	ion Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine		u tha Evaminar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•		n priority under 35 H.S.(. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	-							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152					
I.C. Datast and T	Frademark Office							

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 7, 14-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bar-Nun et al.

Regarding claim 7, Bar-Nun et al., discloses a shock tube (tube 10, also see claim 10), with derive section (see figure 1) and extension sections (any of the branch tubes), along with active vent defined by the related valve in the extension sections.

Regarding claims 14-15, the device has plurality of venting valves such that two are connected together with the same manifold.

- 3. Claims 8-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 1-6, and 16-20 are allowed over the prior art of the record.

Art Unit: 2855

5. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance: The primary reason for allowance of the above claims is that prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the particular combination of the shock tube as presented in the independent claims 1, and 16. Major emphasis regarding claim 1, is being placed upon the provision of a "shock absorbent material disposed" in a specific location, and regarding claim 16 is being placed upon the provision of the "extension section" being "slidably adjustable" in combination with other limitations of said claims and their dependent ones.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (703) 308-5248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

MHN Thursday, July 17, 2003

> MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER